

Franklin Stakeholder Advisory Committee

5-7 p.m. Wednesday, July 18 – Meeting #2
Springfield City Hall, Library meeting room
225 Fifth Street, Springfield

AGENDA

Meeting purpose:

- Develop evaluation framework for study.

5:30 p.m.	Welcome and introductions	Kristin Hull, CH2M HILL
5:40	Public comment	
5:50 p.m.	Confirm SAC protocols	Kristin Hull, CH2M HILL
6 p.m.	Problem statement and evaluation framework <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review ideas from stakeholder interviews and SAC feedback• Identify other ideas	Sam Seskin CH2M HILL/SAC
7:25 p.m.	Close	

Next SAC meetings (design workshop):

- 6-8 p.m. Wednesday, August 1 at the Depot
- 5-6 p.m. Thursday, August 2 at the Depot

Open house:

- 7-9 p.m. Thursday, August 2 at the Depot

Franklin Stakeholder Advisory Committee

5:30-7:30 p.m. Tuesday, July 10 – Meeting #1
Springfield City Hall, Library meeting room
225 Fifth Street, Springfield

Meeting summary

SAC members present

Steve Roth, Roaring Rapids Pizza
Joany Armstead, resident
Debbie Nelson, property owner
John Oldham, Oldham Cranes
Guy Santiago, Oregon River Sports
Dave Carvo, resident
Steve Moe, property owner
Ed Moore, ODOT
Dan Ingram, Apex Development
John Tamulonis, SEDA
John Woodrow, SEDA Board President
Nathan Philips, W&G Development

SAC members absent

Tom Schwetz, LTD
Randy Hledik, Wildish Development
Hillary Wiley, Springfield City Council
Dan Egan, Springfield Chamber of
Commerce

Staff present

Tom Boyatt, City of Springfield
Linda Pauly, City of Springfield
Kristin Hull, CH2M HILL
Sam Seskin, CH2M HILL
Jamie Parks, Kittelson Associates

Meeting purpose:

- Share background information about Franklin Boulevard Study.
- Establish protocols for working together.
- Begin discussion about study goals.

Agenda:

1. Welcome and introductions – Kristin Hull
2. Project purpose and committee charge – Tom Boyatt
3. SAC protocols – Kristin Hull
4. Project overview – Sam Seskin
5. Close/next steps – Kristin Hull

1. Welcome and introductions

Kristin welcomed the group and thanked them for agreeing to participate in the SAC process. John Woodrow suggested that the roster be revised to show him as the SEDA representative and Hillary Wiley as the council representative since the study area is in her ward. The SAC requested a roster of all SAC members.

2. Project purpose and committee charge

Tom Boyatt explained that the purpose of the study is to develop a new cross-section for Franklin Boulevard and McVay Highway through technical analysis. He told the group that he hoped they would get close to consensus through this process but that he anticipated differences of opinion. He explained that this group was responsible for provide a

recommendation or input to the City Council and Planning Commission. He told the group that they would explore trade-offs between the options.

Dave Carvo asked if the group could review past work done in this area including analysis of the Franklin/McVay intersection. Councilor Woodrow suggested that the University of Oregon should be invited to participate in this process. Tom and John Tamulonis agreed to follow-up with the University of Oregon.

3. SAC protocols

Kristin Hull introduced the draft protocols and explained that the draft was just a starting place based on the work of previous groups and that the SAC could make any changes that they wanted to make to the protocols. The group made the following key decisions about protocols:

- Determined that group members can send alternates to SAC meetings and that alternates can participate in group decision making at the discretion of the SAC member that they are representing. SAC members are responsible for letting Tom or Kristin know when an alternate will be attending in his/her stead and if the alternate is authorized to participate in group decision making. The SAC also clarified that alternates should represent the same group or point-of-view as the SAC member that they are representing.
- Agreed to receive meeting materials by email.
- Agreed to hear public comment at the beginning of the meeting and to limit comment to ten minutes of total meeting time and three minutes per individual without SAC consent.
- Agreed to use a threshold of 2/3 of SAC members supporting a recommendation for it to be considered a group recommendation.
- Agreed to include Councilors Woodrow and Wylie, and John Tamulonis, a city staff person, as voting members.
- Clarified that the SAC would revisit decisions if new information was uncovered.
- Agreed to only speak to the media on his/her own behalf and not on the behalf of the committee.

Kristin agreed to revise the protocols based on this discussion and provide a version for adoption at the next meeting.

3. Project overview

Sam Seskin reviewed the project schedule. He explained that the schedule includes eight SWG meetings between now and next spring. He explained that the project team is planning a design workshop for August 1 and August 2 aimed at developing design concepts for the project and that the SWG would be invited to attend meetings both evenings to review team progress and provide input.

Sam reviewed the study area noting that the formal study area boundary included Franklin from I-5 to McVay and McVay from Franklin to Nugget Way. A committee member asked why the study area did not include McVay all the way to I-5. Tom explained that the Council is moving toward directing staff to undertake a planning process for all of Glenwood, but that this study was focused on a smaller, more manageable area. Staff

agreed to explore opportunities to expand the study area later in the process. Ed Moore agreed to find out where the I-5 ramps begin on McVay. The group agreed that the railroad trestle was a logical place for this study to end.

A committee member asked which agency would have jurisdiction over Franklin Boulevard after this project. Tom responded that, in the future, the city would likely enter into negotiations with ODOT to take jurisdiction for Franklin.

Linda Pauly told the group that she was nervous about the Franklin Boulevard Study being too far out in front of the update to the Glenwood Refinement Plan.

Linda requested that the project team look into using a physical model of the corridor in public events to help community members visualize the area. Tom told Linda that a model was not in the scope, but there is a contingency task in the scope for the consultant team to provide 3-D renderings of design options. Linda suggested that the U.O. design studio/AIA process may have a physical model that the project team could borrow.

5. Close and next steps

The group agreed to begin future meetings at 5 p.m.

Kristin reminded the group that their next meeting was on Wednesday, July 18 from 5-7 p.m.

Sam asked the group to email Kristin the top three ways that they would complete the sentence "The Franklin Boulevard Study will be successful if..." before the July 18 meeting.

Kristin agreed to recap the meeting schedule and homework in an email.